# Report to the Cabinet

Report reference: C-070-2016/17
Date of meeting: 6 April 2017



Portfolio: Leader of the Council

Subject: Transformation Programme – February 2017 Highlight Report

Responsible Officer: David Bailey (01992 564105).

Democratic Services: Gary Woodhall (01992 564470).

### **Recommendations/Decisions Required:**

(1) That the Cabinet note the progress of Projects and Programmes for February 2017, alongside planned actions for March 2017.

### **Executive Summary:**

Regular highlight reports on the progress of the Transformation Programme are presented to the Cabinet. This is the highlight report for February 2017 and covers progress for all chartered projects of Medium and High Risk Potential, as well as key aspects of the Transformation Programme.

Overall, progress indicators for 'time', 'cost', 'delivery / outcomes / outputs' and 'benefits' are Green for this period, for a total of 216 actions.

### **Reasons for Proposed Decisions:**

To inform Cabinet of progress on the Transformation Programme, including workstreams, programmes and projects.

### **Other Options for Action:**

No other options are available. Failure to monitor and review progress of the Transformation Programme and to consider corrective action where necessary, could have negative implications for the Council's reputation, and may mean the opportunities for improvement were lost.

### Report:

- 1. This is the February 2017 Highlight Report for the Transformation Programme. The format of the report has evolved in order to remain an effective tool for highlighting progress, slippage and remedial actions being taken.
- 2. The report covers progress for the 38 chartered 'live' projects with Medium or High Risk Potential, as well as progress on key aspects of the Transformation Programme.
- 3. The Cabinet is requested to review progress for February 2017, alongside actions scheduled for the next period and any remedial actions being undertaken.

4. The highlight report uses the RAG rating, based on Red, Amber and Green colours used in a traffic light system. The definitions of the RAG ratings are:

Light	Definition	Action	
	There are significant issues with the project, programme or workstream.	The matter should be escalated to the project sponsor and Transformation Programme Board immediately.	
Red	The project requires corrective action to meet business objectives. The issue cannot be handled solely by the project manager or project team.		
	One or more aspects of project viability – time, cost, scope – exceed tolerances set by the Transformation Programme Board.		
Amber	A problem has a negative effect on project performance but can be dealt with by the project manager or project delivery team.	The Transformation Programme Board should be notified using a progress report or scheduled briefing with the sponsor.	
	Action is taken to resolve the problem or a decision made to watch the situation.		
	One or more aspect of project viability – time, cost, scope – is at risk. However, the deviation from plan is within tolerances assigned to the project manager.		
	The project is performing to plan.		
Green	All aspects of project viability are within tolerance. However, the project may be late or forecast to overspend (within tolerance).	No action needed.	

- 5. Overall, progress indicators for 'time' 'cost', 'delivery / outcomes / outputs' and 'benefits' are Green for this period, from a total of 216 actions. Progress will be kept under review and it is anticipated that the status of the majority of these items will remain Green in the next report.
- 6. Appendix 1 contains the highlight report for February 2017. Any project closures are also noted.

## **Resource Implications:**

Resource requirements for actions to achieve specific outcomes or benefits will have been identified by the Transformation Programme Board and reflected in the budget for the year.

### **Legal and Governance Implications:**

There are no legal or governance implications arising from the recommendations of this report. Relevant implications arising from actions to achieve specific outcomes or benefits will have been identified by the Transformation Programme Board.

### **Safer, Cleaner and Greener Implications:**

There are no implications arising from the recommendations of this report in respect of the

Council's commitment to the Climate Local Agreement, the corporate Safer, Cleaner and Greener initiative, or any crime and disorder issues within the district. Relevant implications arising from actions to achieve specific projects will have been identified by the Transformation Programme Board.

### **Consultation Undertaken:**

Progress has been reviewed by the Transformation Programme Board.

## **Background Papers:**

Progress submissions and relevant supporting documentation is held by the Programme Management Office (PMO).

### **Risk Management:**

There are no risk management issues arising from the recommendations of this report. Relevant issues arising from actions to achieve specific projects will have been identified by the Transformation Programme Board.



# **Equality analysis report**

## Step 1. About the policy, service change or withdrawal

Name of the policy, service or project: be specific	Transformation Programme – February 2017 Highlight Report
Revised / new / withdrawal:	New
Intended aims / outcomes/ changes:	That the Cabinet note the progress of Projects and Programmes for February 2017
Relationship with other policies / projects:	All
Name of senior manager for the policy / project:	Glen Chipp, Chief Executive
Name of policy / project manager:	David Bailey, Head of Transformation

## Step 2. Decide if the policy, service change or withdrawal is equality relevant

Does the policy / project / service process involve, or have consequences for employees or other people? If yes, please state who will be affected. If yes, then the policy / project is equality relevant.	If yes, state which protected groups:
If no, state your reasons for this decision. Go to step 7.	If no, state reasons for your decision:
The majority of Council policies and projects are equality relevant because they affect employees or our communities in some way.	No. Any equalities impact assessment for individual projects or programmes is detailed on the respective reports.

Name and job title of officer completing this analysis:	David Bailey, Head of Transformation
Date of completion:	07.03.2017
Name & job title of responsible officer: (If you have any doubts about the completeness or sufficiency of this equality analysis, advice and support are available from the Performance Improvement Unit)	David Bailey, Head of Transformation
Date of authorisation:	07.03.2017
Date signed copy and electronic copy forwarded to PIU equality@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	07.03.2017

# Appendix 1

# Transformation Programme Highlight Report

Report	Period
14	February 2017

# **Approval**

Approved for submission to the Cabinet (Sponsoring	Cabinet Agenda Planning Group	Date	14.03.2017
Group), given by			

# **Distribution list**

Name	Job title	Directorate / Department	Organisation
Membership	Transformation Programme Board	-	EFDC

# Overall transformation programme progress and status

	RAG status		Comment on averall progress and status and recommended actions	
	This period	Last period	Comment on overall progress and status and recommended actions	
Time	Green	Amber	Total of 216 actions in progress	
Cost	Green	Green		
Delivery / outcome / output	Green	Green		
Benefits	Green	Green		

# Accountabilities and information flow: Project closures

Workstream / Project	Progress to report	Actions for next period	Due	Owner
Workstreams	TPB agreed project closures: P006 Ageing Population Needs Assessment P045 Customer Self-Service Payment Kiosks	TPB consider project closures: P046 Propman Property Management System P118 Oakwood Hill Depot	Mar 2017	Chief Executive

# **Document control**

Version	Date	Status (draft, approved)	Author	Change description
1.0	03.03.2017	February draft	Monika Chwiedz, Performance Improvement Officer	Draft
2.0	07.03.2017	February draft	David Bailey, Head of Transformation	Draft
3.0	14.03.2017	February draft	Cabinet Agenda Planning Group	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> End of Report \*\*\*